Scaling behavior of the contact process in networks with long-range connections
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We present simulation results for the contact process on regular cubic networks that are composed of a one-dimensional lattice and a set of long edges with unbounded length. Networks with different sets of long edges are considered that are characterized by different shortest-path dimensions and random-walk dimensions. We provide numerical evidence that an absorbing phase transition occurs at some finite value of the infection rate and the corresponding dynamical critical exponents depend on the underlying network. Furthermore, the time-dependent quantities exhibit log-periodic oscillations in agreement with the discrete scale invariance of the networks. In case of spreading from an initial active seed, the critical exponents are found to depend on the location of the initial seed and break the hyperscaling law of the directed percolation universality class due to the inhomogeneity of the networks. However, if the cluster-spread quantities are averaged over initial sites, the hyperscaling law is restored.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.80.041123 PACS number(s): 64.60.Ht, 05.70.Ln, 89.75.Hc

I. INTRODUCTION

It is known both for equilibrium and nonequilibrium systems that the presence of long-range interactions leads to different critical behaviors compared to universality classes characteristic for systems with short-range interactions [1]. This has been demonstrated for a paradigmatic model exhibiting a phase transition to a single absorbing state, the contact process (CP), which has been introduced to model epidemic spreading without immunization [2,3]. In this simple model, lattice sites have two states (active or inactive) and active sites may become inactive or may render neighboring inactive sites active. The absorbing phase and the active phase of the systems are separated by a continuous phase transition with the universal behavior of the directed percolation (DP) [4–6]. Long-range interactions have been included by allowing the activation process for far-away inactive sites with probabilities that decay algebraically with the distance. The critical exponents of the corresponding absorbing phase transition have been found to depend continuously on the exponent controlling the decay of activation probabilities [7,8].

An alternative way of realizing long-range interactions is when the dynamical process is defined on a network with long links that connect distant sites. Networks of this type are the scale-free networks constructed by preferential attachment [11], where the critical behavior of CP is controlled by the degree distribution [9,10]. Other models of networks are those which are composed of a d-dimensional regular lattice and additional long edges. These arise, e.g., in sociophysics [11] or in the context of conductive properties of linear polymers with crosslinks that connect remote monomers [12]. In general, a pair of nodes separated by the distance l is connected by an edge with a probability \( p_l = \beta l^{-s} \) for large l [13–24]. We mention that the case \( s=0 \) corresponds to the Watts-Strogatz graph [25], which displays the small-world phenomenon, although that model is constructed by rewiring edges rather than adding new ones; therefore the resulting graph may be disconnected. An intriguing property of these graphs for \( d=1 \) is that in the marginal case \( s=2 \), the intrinsic properties show a power-law behavior and the corresponding exponents vary continuously with the prefactor \( \beta \). Indeed, this has been conjectured for the diameter \( D \) as a function of the number of nodes \( L \), which means \( D(L) \sim L_d^{\alpha} \), where the dimension \( d_{\text{min}} \) depends on \( \beta \) [17]. Later, power-law bounds have been established for \( D(L) \) [20].

For a class of cubic networks with \( s=2 \), the algebraic growth of the diameter has been explicitly demonstrated [24]. Moreover, the mean-square displacement of random walks in such networks has been found to grow algebraically in time with an anomalous random-walk dimension that is characteristic for the underlying network. This behavior contrasts with Lévy flights in the respect that—here—the decay exponent \( s \) does not exclusively determine the diffusion exponent, but the latter depends also on the details of the structure of networks if \( s=2 \). As opposed to random walks, much less is known for interacting many-particle systems on such networks [23]. In particular, the behavior of nonequilibrium systems possessing an absorbing phase transition has not cleared up yet. The aim of the present work is to investigate the contact process on these networks. On the basis of the scaling of diameter and mean-square displacement of random walks, we expect a nonequilibrium system possessing an absorbing phase transition, such as the contact process, to be characterized by altered critical exponents when defined on such networks compared to the corresponding one-dimensional model. We will demonstrate by Monte Carlo (MC) simulations that this is indeed the case for a class of regular networks which, concerning the diameter and random walks, are known to be described by power laws, similar to \( s=2 \) random networks. The advantage of studying regular networks is that, at least, the diameter exponent and the random-walk dimension are
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works to be investigated are defined in Sec. II. The model in Sec. V.
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B. Diameter and random-walk dimension

In the rest of this section, we shall survey some intrinsic properties of the above networks that are exactly known and are relevant with respect to the off-critical dynamical behavior of the contact process.

Beside the distance $x$ measured on the underlying one-dimensional lattice, another metric is the shortest-path length $\ell$ between two sites, which is the minimal number of links that have to be traversed when going from one site to the other one. The average length of the shortest path between two sites separated by the distance $x$ scales in these networks as $\bar{\ell}(x) \sim x^{d_{\min}}$, where $d_{\min}$ is the shortest-path dimension of the network. The diameter $D(L)$ of a typical finite graph with $L$ sites, which is the largest shortest-path length between any two sites grows also algebraically as $D(L) \sim L^{d_{\min}}$. The average number of sites $V(\ell)$ that can be reached in at most $\ell$ steps starting from a given site scales as $V(\ell) \sim \ell^{d_g}$, where $d_g$ is the graph dimension that is related to $d_{\min}$ as $d_g = 1/d_{\min}$.

The other property that we need is the random-walk dimension of the network. Let us consider a continuous time random walk on (infinite) networks, where the walker can jump with unit rate to any of the sites connected with the site it resides. The random-walk dimension $d_w$ is defined through the asymptotical relation $\langle x^2(t) \rangle_{\text{typ}} \sim t^{2 d_w}$, where $x(t)$ denotes the displacement of the walker at time $t$ and $\langle x^2(t) \rangle_{\text{typ}} = \exp \ln \langle x^2(t) \rangle$ is the “typical value” of $\langle x^2(t) \rangle$. Here, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the average over different stochastic histories for a fixed starting position, while the overbar stands for the average over starting positions. Note that the expected value $\langle x^2(t) \rangle$ does not exist if $t > 0$ since the expected value of edge lengths is infinite (in infinite networks). This accounts for that the average of $\ln(x^2(t))$ is considered instead. Notice that $d_w$ is a dynamical exponent that relates time and length scale of random walks.

The dimensions $d_{\min}$ and $d_w$ of the networks defined in the previous section have been exactly calculated [23,24]; the corresponding numerical values are shown in Tables I and II.

### III. CONTACT PROCESS ON NETWORKS

#### A. Definition of the model

The contact process is one of the earliest and simplest lattice models that belongs to the DP universality class. It is a continuous time Markov process on a state space $\{0,1\}^S$, where $S$ is a finite or countable graph, usually $Z^d$. A site with state 0 (1) is called inactive(active) or, in the context of epidemics, healthy(infected). The dynamics is defined by nearest-neighbor transitions that occur independently with given rates. In $d$ dimension, an infected site can spontaneously become healthy ($1 \rightarrow 0$) with rate 1 or can infect one of its neighbors ($0 \rightarrow 1$) with rate $\lambda/(2d)$ (see Fig. 3). This process is defined on the cubic networks under consideration in the way that infected sites are healed with rate 1 as before, whereas each nearest-neighbor site is infected with rate $\lambda/3$, such that (for a site of degree 3) the total infection rate is $\lambda$.

In numerical simulations, this process is realized by random sequential updates: a randomly chosen infected site either becomes healthy with probability $1/(1+\lambda)$ or a neighboring site is attempted to be infected with probability...
TABLE II. Various properties and estimated critical exponents of \( k=2 \) networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( k=2 ) tripling</th>
<th>( k=2 ) silver-mean</th>
<th>Hanoi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( d_{\text{min}} )</td>
<td>0.6309...</td>
<td>0.6232...</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_{\text{rw}} )</td>
<td>1.4650...</td>
<td>1.4575...</td>
<td>1.3057...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>1594323</td>
<td>1607521</td>
<td>4194303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ln(b) )</td>
<td>1.0986...</td>
<td>1.7627...</td>
<td>0.6931...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ln(\tau) )</td>
<td>1.4(1)</td>
<td>2.1(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
<td>1.3(1)</td>
<td>1.2(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta )</td>
<td>2.31269(3)</td>
<td>2.28979(3)</td>
<td>2.18432(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta_0 )</td>
<td>0.117(2)</td>
<td>0.114(4)</td>
<td>0.12(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta_1 )</td>
<td>0.303(5)</td>
<td>0.308(2)</td>
<td>0.33(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta_2 )</td>
<td>0.296(1)</td>
<td>0.294(2)</td>
<td>0.28(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta_i )</td>
<td>0.119(2)</td>
<td>0.117(2)</td>
<td>0.07(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_0 )</td>
<td>0.448(4)</td>
<td>0.453(3)</td>
<td>0.49(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_i )</td>
<td>0.261(2)</td>
<td>0.259(2)</td>
<td>0.28(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{\text{av}} )</td>
<td>0.268(2)</td>
<td>0.271(2)</td>
<td>0.33(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_t )</td>
<td>0.446(2)</td>
<td>0.448(2)</td>
<td>0.54(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{tr}}(t) )</td>
<td>1.665(2)</td>
<td>1.673(2)</td>
<td>1.90(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{sz}}(t) )</td>
<td>1.675(2)</td>
<td>1.675(3)</td>
<td>1.90(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{ms}}(t) )</td>
<td>1.667(4)</td>
<td>1.674(2)</td>
<td>1.89(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>0.269(1)</td>
<td>0.271(1)</td>
<td>0.33(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \lambda / [3(1+\lambda)] \]. For sites of degree 2 (the central site in \( k=2 \) networks and the surface sites in open \( k=2 \) networks) and for sites of degree 1 (the surface sites in \( k=1 \) networks), no update is attempted with probability \( \lambda / [3(1+\lambda)] \) and \( 2\lambda / [3(1+\lambda)] \), respectively.

Throughout the paper, we measure the time in units of MC steps that corresponds to \( N \) update attempts, where \( N \) is the actual number of particles at the beginning of the MC step.

**B. Studied quantities**

The quantities, the time dependence of which we have measured at criticality, are the number of active sites \( N(t) \) and the survival probability \( P(t) \), which is the probability that there is at least one active site at time \( t \). Furthermore, in the case of seed simulations, when initially a single site denoted by \( m \) is active and all other sites are inactive, we have also measured the second moment of the distance of the growing cluster with respect to the origin \( m \), the so-called spread \( R_{\text{ms}}(t) \). To be precise, this quantity is defined as \( R_{\text{ms}}(t) = \sum_{z_0=0}^\infty \tau_i z_i \left( x_i^2(t) \right) / N(t) \), where \( x_i \) is the distance between site \( i \) and the origin \( m \), whereas \( \tau_i \) is a binary variable, which is one/zero for active/inactive sites. In the case of a single initial seed, the above quantities are expected to follow power laws asymptotically:

\[ N_m(t) \sim t^{\eta_m}, \]

\[ P_m(t) \sim t^{-\delta_m}, \]

\[ R_{\text{ms}}(t) \sim t^{2z_m}. \]

In an inhomogeneous system, the critical exponents \( \eta_m, \delta_m, \) and \( z_m \) may be different for different initial active sites \( m \). We shall see that this is indeed the case, at least for the former two exponents. We have probed three different positions for the initial seed. First, it has been located at the central site(s), i.e., site \( (L+1)/2 \) for \( k=2 \) networks, where the number of sites \( L \) is odd, and sites \( L/2 \) and \( L/2+1 \) for \( k=1 \), where \( L \) is even. We shall refer to this arrangement of the initial seed by the index “0.” Second, the initial seed has also been located at the site from which the longest edge of the (finite) network emanates. (Note that the edge connecting site 1 and site \( L \) in Fig. 1 is not the longest one since they are neighbors on the ring.) This initialization is indexed by “1.” Note that in this case, as the process starts, the spread jumps immediately to \( O(x^2) \), where \( x \) is the length of the longest edge. To ensure the smooth increase in the spread, we have modified its definition so that \( x_i \) is the minimum of the distances measured from the two sites, which are connected by the longest edge. Third, we have considered networks built on open one-dimensional chains, where the end sites are of degree 1 for \( k=1 \) and of degree 2 for \( k=2 \). In these networks, the initial seed has been located at the surface, i.e., at site 1. The exponents corresponding to this arrangement are indexed by “sz.”

In addition to this, we have measured \( \overline{N(t)} \) and \( \overline{P(t)} \), the number of active sites and the survival probability, respectively, that are averaged over seed simulations started from all possible initial sites 1, 2, ..., \( L \). The corresponding exponents are denoted by \( \eta_0 \) and \( \delta_0 \). Note that the average of \( R^2(t) \) over all possible initial sites diverges in an infinite network for any \( t>0 \) since the expected value of edge lengths is infinite \([24]\). Nevertheless, the averaging would not provide any new information anyway on the spread since, according to the numerical results, the dynamical exponent \( z_m = z \) is independent of the location of the initial seed.

Another dynamical scaling exponent characterizes the critical system that is started from a homogeneous fully occupied initial state. In this case, the density \( \rho(t) \) of infected sites decays asymptotically as

\[ \rho(t) \sim t^{-\alpha}. \]

In case of models of the DP class defined on regular lattices, \( \alpha = \delta \) holds due to the rapidity reversal symmetry (see [1]). We shall, however, see that this equality does not hold in general for the networks under study.
IV. RESULTS

A. Off-critical behavior

According to our numerical results, below the critical value of the activation rate $\lambda_c$, which is characteristic for the underlying network, the system is in the inactive phase. Here, the number of active sites is found to decrease exponentially in time similar to regular lattices. The dynamics in this phase can be essentially described by random walks of the infection since active sites typically become rapidly inactive after activating a neighboring site. Accordingly, the spread is well approximated by the mean-square displacement of random walks $R^2(t) \sim t^{2/d_{\text{rw}}}$, with the only difference compared to regular lattices is that the dimension $d_{\text{rw}}$ entering the above relation is the anomalous random-walk dimension of the underlying network.

In the active phase $\lambda > \lambda_c$, the inactivation processes are irrelevant and the infection is spreading with a constant speed across the network. Since in $t$ time steps all sites within the distance $\ell \sim t$ are activated, the number of active sites grows in time as $N(t) \sim V(t) \sim t^{1/d_{\text{min}}}$. As the growing cluster of active sites is compact in this phase, the spread increases in time as $R(t) \sim t^{2/d_{\text{min}}}$.

The above laws for $R^2(t)$ below and above the critical point provide the bounds $d_{\text{min}} \leq z \leq d_{\text{rw}}$ for the nontrivial critical dynamical exponent $z$. Furthermore, the number of active sites in surviving samples must not grow faster at this phase can be essentially described by random walks of the infection since active sites typically become rapidly inactive after activating a neighboring site. Accordingly, the spread is well approximated by the mean-square displacement of random walks $R^2(t) \sim t^{2/d_{\text{rw}}}$, with the only difference compared to regular lattices is that the dimension $d_{\text{rw}}$ entering the above relation is the anomalous random-walk dimension of the underlying network.

In order to estimate the critical infection rate $\lambda_c$ and to keep track corrections to scaling more clearly, we have monitored the effective exponent $\alpha_{\text{eff}}(t)$ defined by the local slope

$$\alpha_{\text{eff}}(t) = -\frac{d \ln [\rho(t)]}{d \ln (t)}. \quad (8)$$

This kind of analysis helped to estimate the other dynamical exponents ($\delta, \eta, z$), as well. However, the presence of log-periodic oscillations made the determination of the critical point rather difficult since they distort the monotonicity of the functions. Without these modulations, the effective exponents show upward(downward) curvature above(below) the transition point, respectively (see Fig. 4). In what follows, we shall illustrate the critical behavior of the model mainly for the particular case of the $k=1$ Fibonacci network; the behavior of the process on the other networks is qualitatively similar and the corresponding quantitative data can be found in Tables I and II.

First we located the critical point by measuring dynamical quantities and calculating the effective exponents. In case of the $k=1$ Fibonacci network, the average number of particles originating from the central site increases algebraically, superimposed with log-periodic oscillations as can be seen in Fig. 4.

The critical point estimated by the local slopes is at $\lambda = 3.0146(2)$ with moderate corrections to scaling. The survival probability $P(t)$ and the $R^2(t)$ also show these periodic modulations with the same period $\ln \tau = 2.0(1)$. This is in agreement with the expectations for critical systems with discrete spatial scale invariance. Such systems remain self-similar when lengths are rescaled by a given (nonarbitrary) scale factor $b$ that is characteristic for the system. The time-dependent quantities in such models are expected to display log-periodic oscillations with a temporal period $\ln \tau$ that is related to the spatial scale factor through

$$\ln \tau = z \ln b, \quad (9)$$

where $z$ is the dynamical exponent of the model [27]. The numerical values of the spatial scale factors $b$ of the networks under study [23,24] and the estimated temporal periods are given in Tables I and II. The data are in satisfactory agreement with Eq. (9).

As aforementioned, we performed simulations with three different positions of the initial active seed. According to the numerical results (see Tables I and II), in the three cases the exponents $\eta_m$ and $\delta_m$ are different, whereas $z_m$ and the sum $\eta_m + \delta_m$ are independent of the initial position $m$ [35]. The latter means that the growth rate of the number of active sites
Averaged in surviving samples, i.e., \( N_m(t)/P_m(t) \), is not influenced by the location \( m \) of the initial seed.

As opposed to this, the survival probability is sensitive to this circumstance. We have found that \( \delta_0 > \delta \), which is intuitively obvious and can be explained as follows. The survival probability is greatly influenced by the relative position of the growing cluster with respect to the long edges as the active region reaches them. If the process starts from the central site, the cluster grows typically symmetrically around the central site. Since the longer edges are also located symmetrically around the central site, the growing cluster overlaps with itself as in a finite network with periodic boundary conditions. This, however, decreases the survival probability because the rate of unsuccessful activation attempts is higher in an overlapping front. Thus, in this case, the long edges of the network are not utilized in a favorable way from the point of view of the survival of the process. Apparently, for initial sites, which have an environment identical to that of the central one but only within a finite radius, the growth is described by the same exponents until the cluster is within this radius.

Contrary to this, when the process is started at a site with a long edge, the infection is transferred immediately to a far-away place and the two clusters spreading out from the two sites connected by the long edge do not hinder each other. Of course, in case of a long edge of length \( l \), the two advancing fronts meet after time \( t \sim O(l^2) \) and the exponents \( \eta \) and \( \delta \) describe the spreading dynamics only below this time scale. At this time scale, a crossover occurs to a region with a faster decaying survival probability. In fact, for a typical initial seed location, the dynamical quantities suffer a series of crossovers, depending on the relative position of the initial site with respect to the longer and longer edges the cluster hits.

On the grounds of the above argumentations, the most favorable initial site for the cluster survival is the site at the longest edge, while, disregarding the surface site, the most unfavorable site is the central one. Therefore, the decay of the average survival probability must be bounded by the surviving probabilities in the above two extremal situations and we expect \( \delta_0 \geq \delta_{\text{ext}} \geq \delta \) to hold. According to our numerical results, these relations are valid indeed.

Starting from a fully occupied initial state, the decay of the density at the critical point is characterized by the exponent \( \alpha \), as shown in Fig. 5.

As can be seen from the estimated exponents given in the tables, in general, \( \delta_m \neq \alpha \), in contrast with the \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) on regular lattices, where \( \delta = \alpha \) holds. As a consequence, the hyperscaling law of DP does not hold on the networks under study, i.e., \( 2\delta_m + \eta_m \neq d/\alpha \) with \( d = 1 \). But the set of critical exponents are compatible with the generalized hyperscaling law \[ \delta_m + \alpha + \eta_m = d/\alpha \] with \( d = 1 \). We thus conclude that the rapidity reversal symmetry, which is necessary to the validity of the hyperscaling law is broken. In other words, \( P(t) \) and \( \rho(t) \) scale in a different way, which is a consequence of the presence of long edges that render the system inhomogeneous in space. The inhomogeneity of the system is illustrated in Fig. 6, where the local particle densities \( \langle n_l(t) \rangle \) are plotted at different times against the distance \( l \) measured from the center. As can be seen, the regularly arranged long edges induce modulations in the profiles. As the number of infected sites grows at criticality, as given in Eq. (4), and the active sites are concentrated in a region of size \( \xi(t) \sim t^{1/2} \), we expect the density profiles to have the following scaling form:

![FIG. 5. (Color online) Density decay in the \( k=1 \) Fibonacci network for \( \lambda=3.0145,3.016 \) (from bottom to top). Log-periodic oscillations can be seen as for \( N_0(t) \). The inset shows the unscaled data.](image)

![FIG. 6. (Color online) Upper figure: average occupation numbers \( \langle n_l(t) \rangle \) of sites at different times, plotted against the distance \( l \) measured from the center. The logarithms of times are integer multiples of the estimated temporal period \( \ln \tau \). The process was started from the central sites of the \( k=1 \) tripling network and the averaging has been performed over \( 10^7 \) runs. Lower figure: scaling plot with the same data.](image)
works and for the sequence, the scaling function
This has been plotted in Fig. 6. As can be seen, the number
of peaks in the profiles is increasing with
where the rapidity reversal symmetry persists.
This indicates that the breaking of rapidity reversal symme-
tries in the system. This is in agreement with field theory
and/or random-walk dimension
2 \sigma_{\alpha \nu} + \eta_{\alpha \nu} = \frac{1}{z}.
This indicates that the breaking of rapidity reversal symmetry
is indeed related to the presence of the spatial inhomoge-

Similar to the \( k=1 \) Fibonacci network, we performed the
above analysis for the \( k=1,2 \) silver-mean and tripling net-
works and for the \( k=2 \) Hanoi-tower network (see Figs. 7–9).

The contact process on these networks exhibits the same
qualitative features as on the \( k=1 \) Fibonacci network except
that, for the Hanoi-tower network, log-periodic oscillations
cannot be observed presumably owing to their small amplitu-
de. The estimates of critical exponents, which depend on
the underlying network, can be found in Tables I and II.

V. DISCUSSION
First, we give a brief summary of the results obtained so
far. In each network, a phase transition between active and
inactive phases can be identified at some finite value of the
control parameter by inspecting dynamical properties such as
the time dependence of the number of active sites, the sur-

FIG. 8. (Color online) Time dependence of the survival prob-
ability \( P_\alpha(t) \) in the \( k=2 \) silver-mean network for \( \lambda = 2.2896, 2.28979, 2.29 \) (from bottom to top). The period of oscilla-
tions is \( \ln \tau=2.1(1) \). The inset shows the effective exponents.

FIG. 9. (Color online) Time dependence of the spread \( R_\alpha^2(t) \) in the \( k=2 \) silver-mean network for \( \lambda = 2.2896, 2.28979, 2.29 \) (from bottom to top). The inset shows the unscaled data.
The critical exponents of CP defined on these networks approach the one-dimensional DP values without limits as \( n \to \infty \). In the opposite limit, i.e., when \( d_{rw} \to 1 \) (and \( d_{min} \to 0 \)), it is an open question what are the limiting values of the critical exponents of the CP.

Finally, we mention that the above observations open up the possibility to design networks on which dynamical processes evolve in a prescribed way. The optimization of spreading or transport processes in networks is of great practical relevance \([21,30,31]\). Besides the tuning of degree distribution or the dependence of transition rates on the degrees of sites in nonregular (such as scale-free) networks \([32–34]\), the networks studied in this work offer an alternative way of controlling critical dynamics by means of choosing appropriate sets of long links and the findings obtained here may provide ideas in optimization problems.
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